



PNAMP 2011 Organizational Survey: Summary of Responses

To help understand how PNAMP can better support monitoring practitioners, resource managers, and decision makers in the Pacific Northwest, we conducted an online survey for PNAMP participants in August 2011. The survey, consisting of 31 questions, sought information on demographics, processes used to facilitate coordination and collaboration, and communication techniques. The PNAMP Steering Committee was also surveyed with a similar set of questions, but in addition, they were asked to provide feedback on the priorities and future direction of PNAMP. There were 42 respondents in all, 35 PNAMP participants and 7 Steering Committee members.

In general, the responses of the participants and Steering Committee members were similar. Of the 42 respondents, nearly two-thirds felt that PNAMP's strengths were as a forum for collaboration/coordination with respect to monitoring programs, and for information sharing (host/convene meetings, workshops). When asked what can PNAMP do more of or better, responses included: more outreach to those most in need; more input beyond Columbia Basin needs, beyond fish; focus on sustainable, long-term projects; more staff to complete projects as entity budgets shrink; offer more resources to participate; support remote participation; focus meetings/workshops to products not "just coordination".

Below is a subset of the survey questions and responses.

How long have you known about PNAMP?

- 48.6% Since its start many years ago
- 37.1% 2-3 years
- 5.7% 1-2 years
- 5.7% I only recently heard about PNAMP (less than a year)
- 2.9% Other

Who is your employer?

- 25.7% Federal government
- 22.9% State government
- 11.4% Tribe
- 10.0% NGO
- 8.6% Other
- 5.7% Consulting or contracting company
- 5.7% Local government (city, county, etc.)
- 5.7% Self-employed
- 5.7% University

What is your primary role in your organization?

- 34.3% Researcher/scientist
- 20.0% Data manager or Data steward
- 14.3% Other
- 14.3% Manager
- 14.3% Monitoring coordinator
- 2.9% Policy analyst

What do you feel PNAMP has been most successful at over the past two years (or since you've been involved in PNAMP)? (select all that apply)

- 65.9% Information sharing (host/convene meetings, workshops)
- 63.4% A regional forum for collaboration/coordination with respect to monitoring programs
- 36.6% Developing specific products (final documents, web resources)
- 31.7% Initiating pilot projects and developing recommendations appropriate for use at different scales (HLI, ISTM)
- 7.3% Other (please specify)

If you have participated in PNAMP activities (meetings, workshops, working groups), how would you rate your satisfaction with your overall experience?

- 17.4% Excellent
- 65.2% Good
- 17.4% Fair
- 0.0% Poor

How would you rate your satisfaction with respect to how well the PNAMP staff coordinates activities, disseminates information, and hosts meetings and workshops?

- 33.3% Excellent
- 59.3% Good
- 7.4% Fair
- 0.0% Poor

How often do you visit the PNAMP website (www.pnamp.org)?

- 2.6% Several times a week
- 7.9% About once a week
- 5.3% Several times a month
- 18.4% About once a month
- 55.3% Less than once a month
- 10.5% Never

How would you rate your overall satisfaction with the PNAMP website?

- 15.6% Excellent
- 65.6% Good
- 18.8% Fair
- 0.0% Poor

How would you rate the quality of the content included on the PNAMP website?

- 33.3% Excellent
- 60.0% Good
- 6.7% Fair
- 0.0% Poor